

ECOG Performance Status ¹	Karnofsky Performance Status ²
0—Fully active, able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction	100—Normal, no complaints, no evidence of disease 90—Able to carry on normal activity, minor signs or symptoms of disease
1—Restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or sedentary nature, e.g. light house work, office work	80—Normal activity with effort, some signs or symptoms of disease 70—Cares for self but unable to carry on normal activity or to do active work
2—Ambulatory and capable of all self-care but unable to carry out any work activities, up and about more than 50% of waking hours	60—Requires occasional assistance but is able to care for most of personal needs 50—Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care
3—Capable of only limited self-care, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours	40—Disabled, requires special care and assistance 30—Severely disabled, hospitalisation is indicated although death not imminent
4—Completely disabled, cannot carry on any self-care, totally confined to bed or chair	20—Very ill, hospitalisation and active supportive care necessary 10—Moribund
5—Dead	0—Dead

Karnofsky Performance Status (recipient age ≥ 16 years) ²	Lansky Scale (recipient age ≥ 1 year and ≤ 16 years) ³
Able to carry on normal activity, no special care is needed	Able to carry on normal activity, no special care is needed
100—Normal, no complaints, no evidence of disease 90—Able to carry on normal activity, minor signs or symptoms of disease 80—Normal activity with effort, some signs or symptoms of disease	100—Fully active 90—Minor restriction in physically strenuous play 80—Restricted in strenuous play, tires more easily, otherwise active
Unable to work, able to live at home, cares for most personal needs, a varying amount of assistance is needed	Mild to moderate restriction
70—Cares for self but unable to carry on normal activity or to do active work 60—Requires occasional assistance but is able to care for most of personal needs 50—Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care	70— Both greater restrictions of, and less time spent in active play 60— Ambulatory up to 50% of time, limited active play with assistance/supervision 50— Considerable assistance required for any active play, fully able to engage in quiet play
Unable to care for self, requires equivalent of institutional or hospital care, disease may be progressing rapidly	Moderate to severe restriction
40—Disabled, requires special care and assistance 30—Severely disabled, hospitalisation is indicated, although death not imminent 20—Very ill, hospitalisation and active supportive care necessary 10—Moribund, fatal process progressing rapidly	40— Able to initiate quiet activities 30— Needs considerable assistance for quiet activity 20— Limited to very passive activity initiated by others (e.g. TV) 10— Completely disabled, not even passive play

1. Sørensen J, Klee M, Palshof T, Hansen H. Performance status assessment in cancer patients. An inter-observer variability study. *British journal of cancer*. 1993;67(4):773.
2. Schag CC, Heinrich RL, Ganz P. Karnofsky performance status revisited: reliability, validity, and guidelines. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 1984;2(3):187-93.
3. Lansky SB, List MA, Lansky LL, Ritter-Sterr C, Miller DR. The measurement of performance in childhood cancer patients. *Cancer*. 1987;60(7):1651-6.